

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-33454 OF 2015
DATE OF DECISION : 11th JANUARY, 2015

Ranjeet Singh Sidhu

.... **Petitioner(s)**

Versus

State of Punjab & another

.... **Respondent(s)**

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

* * * *

Present : Mr. Jatinderpal Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K. S. Aulakh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Prabhjot Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Ishan Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.2.

* * * *

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.219 dated 21.08.2014 registered under Sections 66-A, 66-C & 67 of Information Technology Act, 2000 and Section 354-D, 506 & 509 IPC at Police Station Rama Mandi District Jalandhar and the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of written compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Report has been received from learned CJM, Jalandhar after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise. Learned CJM has reported that the compromise is voluntary and without any pressure or coercion. Learned CJM has also sent copy of the statements of parties.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions submits that petitioner is the only accused and respondent no.2 is the only aggrieved person in this FIR.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof are quashed qua the petitioner.

Needless to say that the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

11th JANUARY, 2015
'raj'

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE