

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**428**

**RSA No.2730 of 2002**

**Date of Decision:01.06.2016**

Sudesh Kumari and others

... Appellants

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

**CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH**

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. P.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

None for respondents No.2 and 3.

\*\*\*\*\*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)**

On 08.04.2016, following order was passed:-

*“Seeing the nature of controversy involved in this appeal, it would be in the interest of justice to secure the presence of arguing counsel for contesting parties. Let intimation be circulated to the office of learned counsel for the appellant as well as to the office of counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 for 09.05.2016.”*

Pursuant to that order, intimation was given to the learned counsel for both the sides. As per office report, letters issued to the learned counsel for the appellant as well as for respondents No.2 and 3 have been received back duly served. None has come to address arguments.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

01.06.2016  
*prince*

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)  
JUDGE**