

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-34296-2016
Date of decision: 30.09.2016**

Jai Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. P.S. Sullar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J.

This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R No. 82 dated 28.03.2016, under Sections 304-B/34 IPC, registered at Police Station, City Dadri, District Bhiwani, Haryana.

As per allegations in the FIR, marriage of Pankaj, daughter of Jaipal-complainant was solemnized with Amit son of Jai Singh on 05.12.2015. On 28.03.2016, a phone call received by the complainant from Udai Bhan that his daughter-Pankaj was admitted in Government Hospital Dadri. When he reached in the hospital, she was lying dead. Her husband, Amit, father-in-law, Jai Singh, mother-in-law, Rajpati, sister-in-law, Sonika and her husband were responsible for her death. During investigation, Rajpati, Sonika and her husband Sanjiv were found innocent and the challan was presented against Amit (husband) and Jai Singh (father-in-law).

by the prosecution under Section 319 Cr.P.C. for summoning the additional accused has been dismissed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Bhiwani on 31.07.2016 and even revision against the said order i.e. CRR-2750-2016 has been dismissed qua Sonika, sister in law of the deceased. Learned counsel further stated that on the date of incident, the petitioner was at Chandigarh. However, allegation against him is that he along with his wife and daughter had connived with their son-Amit and murdered his wife.

The petitioner is in custody since 31.03.2016.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, has informed that out of 25 prosecution witnesses, 05 have been examined and 02 have been given up.

Keeping in view the period of incarceration of the petitioner and the fact that trial may still take some time for its conclusion, this court feels that there is no need to detain the petitioner any longer. Accordingly, this petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Bhiwani.

30.09.2016
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No