

118

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.334 of 2017

Date of Decision: 11.01.2017

LICHHMA DEVI AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:Mr. Nandan Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for issuance of directions to official respondents to protect their life and liberty from the hands of private respondents.

Petitioners claim themselves to be major and have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their parents on 08.01.2017. Factum of marriage has been pleaded with reference to marriage certificate and photographs (Annexure P-3). Petitioners feel reasonable apprehension at the hands of private respondents, who were opposing their marriage.

Precisely, for the grievance made in the present

petition, petitioners have already filed a representation before Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka-respondent No.2 (Annexure P-4), but no action has been taken so far.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of State. Let three copies of the petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioners during the course of the day.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka-respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation made by petitioners (Annexure P-4) and if required, necessary protection be provided to them if they are not required in any unlawful activity.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

January 11, 2017

Atik

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No