

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.33338 of 2015

Date of decision: 19th February, 2016

Tara Chand and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Sushil Bhardwaj, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 7.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 22.01.2016 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Karnal has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Jai Chand; victims Roshan Lal, Virender, Prem Kumari, Somwati and Madhuwati as well as the accused persons namely Tara Chand, Randhir Singh, Ram Sarup, Vikas and Gurmeet Singh, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, being a case of version and cross version, the fact that parties are close relations and the offences for which the accused have been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of Cross Case under Sections 148/149/323/324/325 IPC in FIR No.252 dated 22.10.2007 registered at Police Station Butana, District Karnal under Sections 148/149/323/324/325/326/506 IPC and all consequences arising out of the said Cross Case qua the petitioners are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 19, 2016

rps