

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.243

CRM No.M-33398 of 2014

Date of Decision: April 25, 2016

Parminder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr. Parvez Chugh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

A report has been received from the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Zira to the effect that parties have not appeared to get their statements recorded in compliance of the direction given by this Court vide order dated March 16, 2016.

Even on the last date of hearing, none opted to appear on behalf of the petitioner. Meaning thereby the parties are not interested to pursue the matter, the instant petition is dismissed for non-compliance of the direction issued by this Court. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to move a fresh petition on the basis of the same cause of action.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

April 25, 2016

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**