

**CRM-M-34192-2016 and
CRM-M-34300-2016**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 04.10.2016

1. CRM-M-34192-2016

Gurmeet Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-34300-2016

Sarabjit Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.Anmol Rattan Singh, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. G.S.Bhasin, Advocate,
for the petitioners in both the petitions.

Ms. Shivali, AAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-34192-2016, filed by
petitioner-Gurmeet Kaur and CRM-M-34300-2016, filed by petitioner-
Sarabjit Kaur, under Section 439 Cr.P.C., for grant of regular bail in case

**CRM-M-34192-2016 and
CRM-M-34300-2016**

FIR No.163 dated 26.08.2016, registered at Police Station City Kharar, District S.A.S.Nagar, Mohali, under Sections 15 (2) (B) and 15(3) of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956, Sections 420, 511 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3(1), 4, 5, 1A, 6A and 29 of the Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (Prohibition of Sex Selection) Act, 1994 and Rule 9 of the Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (Prohibition of Sex Selection) Rules, 1996.

Notice of motion was issued in both the petitions. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

As per the FIR, there is allegation against petitioner-Sarabjit Kaur that she conducted the ultrasound on pregnant women despite the fact that she was neither a registered medical practitioner nor an ultrasound operator. The allegation against petitioner-Gurmeet Kaur is that she is assisting or conniving in conducting unauthorized ultrasound on the pregnant women.

Petitioner-Gurmeet Kaur has been in custody since 28.08.2016 and petitioner-Sarabjit Kaur has been in custody since 26.08.2016. They are not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as they are in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioners in custody till the final disposal of the case.

**CRM-M-34192-2016 and
CRM-M-34300-2016**

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, these criminal miscellaneous petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail subject to their furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹40,000/- with one surety each in the like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

04.10.2016
parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No