

**202 IOIN-FAO-1711-2000, IOIN-FAO-1665-2000 &
IOIN-FAO-1691-2004
RAM MURTI & ORS. VS SHANKAR LAL & ORS.**

Present: None for the appellants.

Mr.Lalit Garg, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

As per the report of the Registry, despite a reminder to learned counsel for the appellants to supply the address of respondent No.1, who still remains unserved, the needful has not been done. Consequently, this appeal has been put up for motion hearing though it otherwise stands admitted to regular hearing.

However, learned counsel for the respondent-insurance company submits that no recovery rights have been given to the insurance company from respondent No.1, who is the driver of the vehicle insured by the insurance company.

In view of the above, service upon respondent No.1 is dispensed with at this stage, until he is considered a necessary party for any reason.

A photo copy of this order be placed on the file of the other connected cases.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

August 31, 2016.
Davinder Kumar