

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**XOBJR No.42-CI of 2016 in/and
RFA No. 2903 of 2001 and other connected matters
Date of decision: 21.01.2016**

State of Punjab and others

... Appellants

Vs.

Chander Kant and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Yatinder Sharma, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. R.S. Manhas, Advocate

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? YES/NO
2. To be referred to the reporters or not? YES/NO
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest? YES/NO

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J.

This batch of 38 appeals, out of which 24 appeals bearing Regular First Appeal Nos.2903 to 2926 of 2001 filed by the State of Punjab, 14 appeals bearing RFA Nos.492 to 502, 637 to 639 of 2000 and cross-objections No.32-CI to 52-CI of 2016, arising out of the same acquisition and raising identical issues, is being decided together vide this common order.

Learned counsel for the parties are ad-idem that since the order dated 16.03.2009 passed by this Court in **RFA No.4525 of 2001 (Thuru Ram Vs. State of Punjab and others)** has been followed by this Court in numerous later orders, including in the order dated 20.12.2013 passed in **RFA No.1694 of 1999 (Kirpal Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others)**, in order

dated 07.11.2013 passed in **RFA No.1698 of 1999 (Ajit Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others)**, in order dated 27.08.2013 passed in **RFA No.583 of 1997 (Kartar Singh and others Vs. Punjab State and others)** and in order dated 26.08.2015 passed in **RFA No.226 of 1999 (State of Punjab and others Vs. Durgi Devi and others)**, present batch of appeals shall also be covered by the order dated 16.03.2009 passed by this Court in **Thuru Ram's** case (supra).

Learned counsel for the parties jointly pray that since all the cases referred to hereinabove were found covered and disposed of in terms of the order passed in **Thuru Ram's** case (supra), let the present bunch of appeals and cross-objections may also be disposed of, in terms of the order dated 16.03.2009 passed by this Court in **Thuru Ram's** case (supra).

Ordered accordingly.

Consequently, the appeals filed by the State of Punjab shall stand dismissed, whereas the appeals as well as cross-objections filed by the land owners shall stand allowed, in terms of **Thuru Ram's** case (supra). The land owners in this batch of appeals, are held entitled to receive the compensation for their acquired land, which was granted to the land owners in **Thuru Ram's** case (supra). Besides this, the land owners shall also be entitled to all the statutory benefits available to them, under the relevant provisions of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894.

Disposed of, accordingly.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

21.01.2016
vishnu