

*IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB  
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.*

Cr. Misc. M 34164 of 2016  
Date of decision: 26.9.2016

Om @ Om Parkash and ors

Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and ors

Respondent

Present: Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate for petitioner.  
Mr. Sanchit Punia, advocate for complainant.

**M.M.S.BEDI,J.**

The petitioners have been summoned as additional accused u/s 319 Cr.P.C. They seek quashing of the proceedings on the basis of compromise.

Counsel for the complainant has put in appearance to state that the matter has been amicably resolved between the petitioners and the complainant, after they were summoned u/s 319 Cr.P.C. The compromise has been placed on record as Annexure P-4.

Without expression of any opinion on merits of the case and taking into consideration inconsistent stands taken by the complainant at different stages, I do not find any ground to quash the proceedings. In case such an approach is adopted, permitting the complainant to change stands on different dates, it will tantamount to playing in the hands of the complainant.

This petition is disposed of with a direction that the personal appearance of the petitioners will be exempted during pendency of the trial subject to any conditions imposed by the trial court, in case such an application is filed by them. The evidence will be recorded in their absence but in presence of the counsel, as per the provisions of Section 273 Cr.P.C.

September 26 ,2016  
TSM

( M.M.S.BEDI )  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Yes/ No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No