

CRM-M-34111-2016

Date of Decision : 17.11.2016

Ankit Nai @ Ram Niwas

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. A.S. Sullar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

While on bail, the petitioner had absented on different dates as a result of which his bail bonds were cancelled and he was declared a proclaimed offender. After having been re-arrested, the petitioner has been in custody since 09.02.2016.

Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Addl.A.G., Haryana appearing on behalf of the State has vehemently contended that the petitioner is involved in large number of cases, as such, he should not be granted the concession of bail.

On asking of the Court, it has been informed that since 09.02.2016, only two witnesses out of total 20 witnesses have been examined.

Taking into consideration the totality of the above said circumstances, I am of the opinion that the period of detention suffered by the petitioner, will certainly have a deterrent effect on the petitioner not to repeat the same act.

Taking into consideration the pace, at which the prosecution agency is examining the witnesses, the petitioner can be granted the concession of bail.

The petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court subject to the condition that petitioner will not absent himself without any sufficient cause during the pendency of the trial.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

17.11.2016
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No