

**Sr. No. 207
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-33205 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 25.01.2016

Rameet Sachdeva

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Suman Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Doon, AAG, Haryana.

Complainant in person.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA. J (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks concession of pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.204 dated 13.05.2015, under Section 406 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Udyog Vihar, Gurgaon.

Counsel for the petitioner has been heard at length.

Complainant in the present case is Sunita Jain, who is stated to be running a Company under the name and style of Jain Trading Company. Complainant's version is that goods were supplied to Granlabe i.e. Company of which the present petitioner is a Director. Goods worth Rs.10,51,141/- were supplied but the dues have not been settled till date.

The present petition came up for preliminary hearing before a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court on 29.09.2015 and contention of the counsel for the petitioner was noticed that the liability is admitted and he would be ready to pay a sum of Rs.5 lac

upfront. Thereafter, on the adjourned date i.e. 06.11.2015, more time was sought and as such hearing was deferred to today.

Mr. Suman Jain, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not in a position to make any payment. The liability otherwise is admitted by the counsel.

Allegations clearly point towards misappropriation of money.

Petitioner having indicated to this Court as regards part payment towards outstanding dues has now even resiled from such offer.

Conduct of the petitioner does not entitle him to the concession of anticipatory bail.

Petition is dismissed.

25.01.2016

vandana

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**