

CRM-M-33183 of 2015

DECIDED ON: JANUARY 18, 2016

MANPREET KAUR & ANR

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Both the petitioners are present in person with
Mr. M.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate

Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sukhjinder Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 6.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Instant petition has been filed seeking directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

2. At the very outset, it has been submitted by learned counsel for respondents No.4 to 6 that respondents No.4 to 6 have no grudge against either of the petitioners, who have solemnized their marriage as per their own sweet will. Rather, they have accepted their marriage.

3. In the given circumstances, on instructions from the petitioners who are present in Court, it has been submitted by their learned counsel that since respondents No.4 to 6 have accepted their marriage and at present they

have no apprehension to their lives and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6 or another other person, the petition has rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as such.

4. However, petitioners shall be at liberty to approach the court in case any need arises.

JANUARY 18, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE