

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(130)

CRM-M-34059-2016

Decided on: October 17, 2016.

Harpreet Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

Shyam Sunder Monga

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

The revision petition has been filed against orders dated 10.06.2016 and 07.09.2016 closing the defence evidence of the petitioner, who is facing trial under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act.

When asked, as to what right of the petitioner as accused has been prejudiced by the impugned orders, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner wishes to summon the officials of the Bank to prove that the cheques Exhibit C-1 and C-2 are valid only for amount below or upto Rs.10 lacs as the said condition is specifically mentioned on the cheques.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that one of the cheques is for a sum of Rs.17,50,000/-.

I have considered the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner and I am of the opinion that the contents of the documents which have already been accepted as Exhibit C-1 and C-2 can always be looked into and considered by the trial Court, in case, any defence plea is based upon the said documents.

This petition is disposed of without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to rely upon the contents of the documents which have already been exhibited as C-1 and C-2 for the purpose of defence.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

October 17, 2016
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No