

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM NO. M-33951 of 2016

Date of decision:- November 28, 2016

KAMALJIT SINGH AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS...

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. Sherry K. Singla, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Paul, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manoj K. Pundir, Advocate,  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*\*

**JASPAL SINGH, J.**

Instant petition has been preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.102, dated 24.08.2016, under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B IPC, Police Station Amargarh, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1), along with all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

2. In compliance of order dated September 23, 2016, report of learned Judicial Magistrate has been received, in which, it has been categorically observed that parties have entered into compromise genuinely,

matter involved is personal in nature, which has been amicably put at rest. Compromise is the soul of justice, which not only enhances the social amity, harmony and peace but also reduces friction and discord.

3. So, in view of above circumstances and in view of the pronouncement captioned as “*Kulwinder Singh & others v. State of Punjab & others; 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

4. Consequently, the instant petition stands allowed and FIR No.102, dated 24.08.2016, under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B IPC, Police Station Amargarh, District Sangrur, and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners.

November 28, 2016  
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No