

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 117

CRM-M-33934-2016

Decided on : 23.09.2016

Nida Parveen and another

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.Lajpat Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have married each other against the wishes of the parents of petitioner No.1 and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7. In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 21.09.2016 (Annexure P-5) was made on behalf of the petitioners to the Senior Superintendent of Police, UT, Chandigarh but to no avail.

In the above circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present petition.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage, the Senior Superintendent of Police, UT, Chandigarh (respondent No.2) is directed to forthwith consider the aforesaid representation dated 21.09.2016 (Annexure P-5) made on behalf of the petitioners and thereafter take appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to

ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

23.09.2016
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes / No

Whether reportable

:

Yes / No