

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-3393-2016 (O&M).
Decided on: February 1, 2016.**

Gurdeep Singh

.. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab

.. Respondent(s)

* * *

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

* * *

**PRESENT Mr.A.S.Cheema, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Application of the petitioner was dismissed by this Court on 30.1.2013.

Counsel for the petitioner, when confronted as to what the the changed circumstances for granting pre-arrest bail to the petitioner, submits that the co-accused of the petitioner have been granted the concession of regular bail.

I have heard the counsel for the petitioner and gone through the order dated 20.11.2012 in CRM-M-34338 of 2012 and order dated 30.1.2013, passed in CRM-M-812-2013 by this Court and I am of the opinion that grant of regular bail to the co-accused cannot be considered as a changed circumstance for granting pre-arrest bail to the petitioner by entertaining his third application for pre-arrest bail. The petitioner no doubt is entitled to parity with his co-accused for seeking regular bail.

The petition is dismissed without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to seek concession of regular bail.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

**February 1, 2016.
rka**