

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-33015 of 2015
Date of decision: 18.11.2016.

Santosh Rani @ Santosh Kaur & Ors.

...Petitioners

Versus

Ajmer Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Dinesh Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Mohinder Singh Bedi, Advocate for the respondent.

RITU BAHRI, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner has come up against the order dated 01.07.2015 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana whereby a revision filed against the order dated 01.03.2014 passed by Judicial Magistrate First Class, Ludhiana.

A perusal of the order shows that learned counsel for the petitioners filed an application under Section 125 Cr.P.C. for grant of maintenance for two minor children. On the basis of evidence led by the parties, it has been found that respondent-husband was getting a very meagre pension of ₹ 2100-2200 per month. He has to support two children born out of earlier wedlock from his deceased wife. The parties have obtained decree of divorce on 05.03.2005 (Annexure R-10).

Counsel for the petitioners has not been able to refer to any evidence that has been ignored by both the Courts below while granting maintenance.

Dismissed.

November 18, 2016
Poonam (II)

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes

Whether reportable

No