

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33855 of 2016

Date of decision: October 25, 2016

Pratibha

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Ashwani Nagra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties and perused the
FIR.

Learned State counsel fairly states that upon perusal of the
investigation papers shows that only evidence against the petitioner-
Pratibha is as the person along with the main case and nothing more
without prescribing any role.

In that view of the matter, I think the petitioner is entitled
to grant of anticipatory bail which is prayed by her in the present
petition.

In that view of the matter, petitioner is ordered to be
released on anticipatory bail on her furnishing bail bonds amounting to
₹10,000/- with one surety of like amount, subject to the satisfaction of
the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

October 25, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No