

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision : July 14, 2016

1. CRM-M No.32836 of 2015

Bahadar @ Raj Kishan vs State of Haryana

2. CRM-M No.5729 of 2016

Ved Parkash vs State of Haryana

\*\*\*

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI**

\*\*\*

Present : Mr. Rahul Gautam, Advocate  
for the petitioner in CRM-M No.32836 of 2015.

Mr. KS Dhaliwal, Advocate  
for the petitioner in CRM-M No.5729 of 2016.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG Haryana

Mr. PS Poonia, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*

1. **Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

\*\*\*

**Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)**

The petitioners seek grant of regular bail in case FIR

No.160, dated 19.5.2015, registered under Sections 302, 364, 201, 120-B/34 of the IPC, at Police Station Sadar Jind, District Jind.

Petitioner Bahadar @ Raj Kishan has been in custody since 29.5.2015 while petitioner Ved Parkash has been in custody since 20.5.2015. As per the FIR, the main accused, who was in custody in some other case, made a confessional statement to the police that in the year 2009 he had killed one Moli Brahmin at the behest of petitioner-Ved Parkash through petitioner-Bahadar @ Raj Kishan.

Counsel for the petitioners have argued that even till date not only has the trial not started, rather there is an order for further investigation and apart from the confessional statement of the co-accused, the police has not been able to find any evidence against the present petitioners.

Counsel for the complainant, on the other hand, has argued that actually the police has been helping the present petitioners as well as the other accused and it is only because of the filing of a petition before this Court, some action had been taken.

Learned DAG Haryana, on instructions from ASI Mohinder, has accepted the factual assertions made by counsel for the petitioners as well as those made by counsel for the complainant to the extent that the

complainant had filed a petition before this Court seeking proper investigation into disappearance of Moli Brahmin, though he has stated that the police is trying to help the accused persons.

In these circumstances, without commenting upon the merits of the case, and keeping in view the period of custody already suffered by the petitioners, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of regular bail to the petitioners.

Bail to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Ilaqa Magistrate.

Petitions stand disposed of.

July 14, 2016  
'kk'

( AJAY TEWARI )  
JUDGE