

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRL. MISC. No.M-33683 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 22nd SEPTEMBER, 2016**

Jarmanjit Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Achin Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G. Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Rule heard forthwith.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

By impugned order the bail granted to the petitioner has been cancelled as he failed to appear on 12.07.2016 and earlier on 06.02.2015. The petitioner is being prosecuted in FIR No.23 dated 26.03.2013 registered under Sections 51, 52-A, 63, 65 of Copy Rights Act, 1957 at Police Station Sadar Faridkot. The criminal case is pending before the Court below.

Learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions from the petitioner makes statement that the petitioner hereinafter would not make any default in appearing before the trial Judge and would continue to appear thereafter with promptitude till the trial is completed and would

cooperate in completion of the trial, if the impugned order dated 02.09.2016 is set aside.

Accepting the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, I think the petitioner should be given one more chance to continue on bail.

In that view of the matter, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) Rule is made absolute.
- (ii) Impugned order dated 02.09.2016 is set aside.
- (iii) The petitioner is granted anticipatory bail in the aforesaid FIR No.23 dated 26.03.2013.
- (iv) He shall furnish fresh bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.
- (v) The petitioner would continue to appear with promptitude till the completion of trial.

Disposed of accordingly.

22nd SEPTEMBER, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No.