

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -33662 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.11.2016

Salmu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Arjun Attri, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Naveen Kaushik, Addl. A.G., Haryana
assisted by ASI Bhupinder Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.374 dated 19.07.2016, registered under Section 13(2) of the Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015, at Police Station Nuh, District Mewat.

On 22.09.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that petitioner was not arrested from the spot. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in as many as eight similar FIRs and in none of the cases, the petitioner was not arrested from the spot.

Notice of motion for 23.11.2016.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by

the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court."*

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 22.09.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 22.09.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

23.11.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable: Yes No