

CRM-M-33639-2016

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33639-2016

Date of Decision: 21.09.2016

Harpreet Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Ms. Ritu Pathak, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this second petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.68 dated 02.03.2016, registered at Police Station City Khanna, under Sections 3 (3), 3(A), 5(2), 6 (b) and 23(3) of the Pre-natal Diagnostic Techniques (Regulation & Prevention of Misuse) Act, 1994 and Section 120-B IPC.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record.

From the record, I find that first bail application filed by the present petitioner seeking anticipatory bail has already been dismissed by this Court on merits vide order dated 21.07.2016. In the said order, while discussing the facts of the case and role of the present petitioner and keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence, it was held that the

CRM-M-33639-2016

petitioner is not entitled for the benefit of anticipatory bail being one of the main accused. No changed circumstances have been shown for the grant of anticipatory bail. It is argued that the appropriate authority has also filed complaint in the FIR. In no way, this can be held as a changed circumstance for the grant of anticipatory bail in the FIR case.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

21.09.2016
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No