

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32744-2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 22.3.2016

SHYAM SINGH

....Petitioner(s)

vs.

STATE OF HARYANA

....Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

Present:- Mr. A.S. Sidhu, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Randhawa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakshi, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Manoj Kaushik, Advocate,
for the complainant.

M.M.S. Bedi, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is alleged to have committed an offence which attracts civil and criminal liability qua the complainant with whom wife of the petitioner had entered into an agreement of sale. The petitioner instead of honouring the said agreement has, fraudulently, transferred the property in the name of his son and daughter after the death of his wife. He had allegedly taken forcible possession of the property from the complainant.

Counsel for the complainant intervened to oppose the application for regular bail.

I have considered the facts and circumstances of the case. In order to enforce the civil rights, the complainant has filed a suit for specific performance/recovery of the amount. So far as the criminal case is

concerned, challan stands already presented.

No useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody as a penalty measure. This petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Counsel for the complainant has submitted that son of the petitioner Sandeep who had been granted interim bail has not surrendered.

It will be open to the complainant to move appropriate application in this context.

Nothing said in this application will affect the adjudication of any other bail application of any co-accused of the petitioner.

March 22, 2016
poonam

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE