

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-3274 of 2015

Date of decision : 18.01.2016

Shankar Lal

....Petitioner

V/s

State of Haryana & anr.

....Respondents

BEFORE : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Balraj Gujjar, Advocate for the petitioner.

MR. Satbir Singh, District Attorney, Haryana.

RAJAN GUPTA J.

Petitioner has sought quashing of impugned Calendra on the ground that no offence is made out against the petitioner. Before initiating proceedings under section 182 Cr.P.C., no opportunity of hearing was granted to him. Thus, proceedings are vitiated.

Prayer has been opposed by learned State counsel. He has referred to reply filed by the State.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

It appears that petitioner got a FIR registered against one Kanshi Ram Aggarwal and his family members for offence under sections 498-A/406/506/34 IPC. Investigating agency found the accused innocent. It presented challan only against Narender son of Kanshi Ram Aggarwal. However, petitioner continued to make complaints to police authorities. Complaints were found to be false. As a result, proceedings under section 182 Cr.P.C. were initiated. I find no ground to interfere in inherent jurisdiction of this

court. It appears that petitioner is a habitual complainant. There is, thus no merit in the petition and same is hereby dismissed.

January 18, 2016

Ajay

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE