

CRM-M-33572-2016

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33572-2016

Date of Decision: 22.09.2016

Vijay

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. D.S.Matya, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.184 dated 19.05.2016, registered at Police Station Bhondsi, District Gurgaon, under Sections 332, 353, 186, 395 and 511 of the Indian Penal Code.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record.

Perusal of order dated 14.09.2016 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Gurgaon reveals that the petitioner was granted interim bail and was asked to join the investigation vide order dated 02.09.2016, but he did not do so. When bail application was fixed for hearing on 14.09.2016, then none appeared on behalf of the petitioner before learned Additional Sessions Judge, Gurgaon. The non-compliance of order passed by learned

Additional Sessions Judge, Gurgaon and non-appearance on behalf of the

CRM-M-33572-2016

petitioner for pursuing the bail application shows the conduct of petitioner. Moreover, the FIR has been got registered by EHC Ramdas. As per the version of FIR, when the complainant stopped the entry at the gate, the accused persons gave beatings to him. They also tried to snatch rifle from the complainant.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and nature and gravity of the offence, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled for the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

22.09.2016

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No