

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.32657 of 2015
Date of decision: 24th May, 2016

Ramjeet

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. R.S. Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
for respondents No.1 & 2.

Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 15.02.2016 of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sohna has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Mahabir Parsad and the accused namely Ramjeet, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court, being a pure property dispute, the fact that the offences for which the accused has been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that

compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.22 dated 15.01.2010 registered at Police Station Sohna, District Gurgaon under Sections 420/406/467/468/471/120B IPC and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioner are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 24, 2016
rps