

275

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33492 of 2016

Date of decision: November 08, 2016

Kuldeep Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Gaurav Gogna, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, AAG Punjab.

Ms. Preeti Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2-complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.34 dated 19.02.2011, under Sections 408, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Basti Jodhewa, District Ludhiana, petitioner seeks quashing of the said FIR on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2-complainant jointly submit that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court vide order dated 21.09.2016 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of statements. Pursuant thereto, now, there is a report from learned JMIC, Ludhiana stating that the statements have been recorded and the

compromise is without any pressure and with their free will.

In that view of the matter, this petition is allowed. FIR No.34 dated 19.02.2011, under Sections 408, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Basti Jodhewa, District Ludhiana alongwith all consequential proceedings, is quashed by way of compounding/compromise.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

November 08, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No