

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-32563 of 2015.
Date of Decision: 11.02.2016.

Navdeep Singh @ Chatha

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Nandan Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Chandumajra, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in a case arising out of FIR No. 49 dated 27.03.2014, registered at Police Station Sunam, District Sangrur, under Sections 307, 427, 120-B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that witnesses have not been examined despite sufficient time having been granted to the prosecution. Learned counsel further states that some of the witnesses have already been declared proclaimed offenders in other cases. Moreover, the petitioner has been behind bars since 07.04.2014. The learned counsel further contends that

the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation.

Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that trial would not be concluded in near future, the petitioner can be granted concession of bail.

Without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds, to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Sangrur.

February 11, 2016.
kanchan

(PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL)
JUDGE