

CRM-M-32555-2015

224

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32555-2015

Date of Decision: 23.04.2016

CAPTAN SINGH AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH.

Present: Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Barjinder Singh, Advocate,
for the respondent No. 2.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J (ORAL)

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Tej Pal stated that in this case, all the witnesses have been examined and prosecution evidence is complete and the offences in this case are under Sections 323/325/427/506 and 307 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the judgment rendered in CRM-M-5805 of 2016 titled as 'Satnam Singh @ Satti & Others V/s State of Punjab' decided on 11.04.2016.

However, the said judgment shows that the power to quash the proceedings for the offence under Section 307 IPC are

CRM-M-32555-2015

not absolute and these are to be exercised only in the appropriate cases.

In the present case, the entire evidence is already been completed.

It being so, I do not find any ground to quash the proceedings. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

[KULDIP SINGH]
JUDGE

April 23, 2016

Suresh Kumar