

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-32479 of 2015**

**Date of decision: 29.03.2016**

**Bahadur Singh & others**

**----Petitioner (s)**

V/s

**State of Punjab & others**

**-----Respondent(s)**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. M.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Madahar, AAG, Punjab.

None for respondent Nos.2 to 5.

\*\*\*\*\*

**HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)**

Prayer made in the present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for quashing of FIR No.119 dated 04.05.2009, under Sections 452, 324, 323, 506, 148 and 149 1PC (later on Section 452 IPC deleted and Section 325 added), registered at Police Station City Sangrur, District-Sangrur and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 11.09.2015 (Annexure P-2).

This Court vide order dated 21.01.2016 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their

statements recorded with regard to compromise and the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was directed to submit its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

Pursuant to the aforesaid order dated 21.01.2016, the parties have appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sangrur and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has forwarded her report dated 24.02.2016 to the effect that the parties have willfully settled their differences and reached at a bona fide compromise without any pressure and the same is genuine.

Though, today no one is present on behalf of respondents No.2 to 5, but no prejudice would be caused to them, as they have already suffered a statement with regard to validity of the compromise before learned Magistrate on 16.02.2016. Statement of complainant-respondent No.2, namely, *Sukhwant Singh* son of Bhajan Singh, reads as under:-

*“That all the accused are related to me. The matter has been compromised with the accused namely Bahadur Singh, Janta Singh, Bhola Singh, Gurmeet Singh and Kala Singh @ Kaka Singh voluntarily with the intervention of respectable and relatives, without any coercion or undue influence or without any pressure and I have no objection if the present FIR be quashed.”*

Apart from the statement of the complainant-respondent No.2-*Sukhwant Singh*, respondents No. 3 to 5 have also made similar statements. There is nothing on record to doubt the genuineness of the compromise, as arrived between the parties. Thus, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings in the instant FIR.

Learned counsel for the State also does not dispute the factum of compromise entered between the parties.

Accordingly, following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 (P&H)**, as approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and others, (2012)10 SCC 303**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.119 dated 04.05.2009, under Sections 452, 324, 323, 506, 148 and 149 IPC (later on Section 452 IPC deleted and Section 325 added), registered at Police Station City Sangrur, District-Sangrur, qua the petitioners, are quashed, in view of the compromise dated 11.09.2015 (Annexure P-2).

**29.03.2016**  
**Anjal**

**[HARI PAL VERMA]**  
**JUDGE**