

212

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33333 of 2016

Date of decision: October 26, 2016

Sanjeev Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned State counsel and perused the interim order dated 20.09.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In FIR No.303 dated 27.08.2016, under Sections 379, 420 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Farakpur, District Yamunanagar, petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail.

This Court had issued interim order dated 20.09.2016 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Assistant Sub Inspector Balraj Singh, states that pursuant to the said order, the petitioner has joined investigation and custody is not required.

Looking to the nature of offence alleged against the petitioner, I am inclined to confirm the interim order dated 20.09.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 20.09.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

October 26, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No