

201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32432 of 2015

Date of decision: August 08, 2016

Robin Richard

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. A.D.S. Jattana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties and perused the order dated 22.09.2015 made by this Court granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel states that the balance amount of ₹2,10,000/- is said to have been unpaid but she submits that as such, custody is not required.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that major amount has been paid back to the complainant. He further states that amount of ₹2,10,000/- which is said to have been paid in cash to the petitioner but for which there is no evidence.

In that view of the matter, the petitioner having paid back

the major sum to the complainant, the interim order dated 22.09.2015 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

August 08, 2016
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No