

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37120-2010 (O&M)

Date of Decision: January 11, 2016

Aashish Luthra and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

- 1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?***
- 2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?***
- 3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?***

Present: Mr.Bipan Ghai, Sr.Advocate with
Mr.Mandeep Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.P.S.Paul, DAG, Punjab.

.....

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned senior counsel submits that as per the information received, during pendency of the present petition the charges were framed by learned trial Court and the said order has been challenged by the petitioner by way of criminal revision petition before the Court of Session and, as such, the pendency of the present petition would not be fruitful.

Learned counsel for the State submits that in view of

the fact that charges have been framed and the said order has been challenged by way of criminal revision petition before the Court of Session and, as such, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

There appears to be force in the submission of the learned counsel for the State and, as such, the present petition is disposed of at this stage.

January 11, 2016
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE