

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-33252-2016

Date of Decision : 06.12.2016

Chiman Singh and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CRM-M-35420-2016

Karnail Singh and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate,
for the petitioners (in CRM-M-33252-2016).

Mr. Harpal Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners (in CRM-M-35420-2016).

Mr. Jashanpreet Singh, A.A.G., Punjab.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

This order will dispose of two petitions, one
(CRM-M-33252-2016) filed by Chiman Singh and others and the other

(CRM-M-35420-2016) filed by Karnail Singh and others, for quashing of FIR No. 8 dated 07.02.2011, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 325, 326 and 452 I.P.C., and the cross-version, recorded vide DDR No. 28 dated 05.02.2011, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 325, 326 and 452 I.P.C., registered at Police Station Lakho ke Behram, District Ferozepur.

The FIR was registered at the instance of complainant/respondent No. 2, namely Karnail Singh, alleging that Chiman Singh and others, armed with *gandasi*, *kappa*, *sota*, etc. had assaulted him, whereas cross-version was recorded at the instance of Karnail Singh and seventeen others to the effect that they had attacked respondent No. 2, namely Chiman Singh, in petition filed by Karnail Singh before this Court.

Both the parties have entered into the compromise. It appears that the cancellation report has been submitted, but it could not be accepted for non-availability of the complainant.

With the assistance of learned State counsel, I have gone through the police record, brought by ASI Ashwani Kumar. As per the record, the parties have actually entered into the compromise. On the basis of the said conduct of the parties, the cancellation report has been submitted before the Court, but some how the same was not accepted.

Following the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, and the parties having entered into the compromise with each other, I do not find any ground to reject the prayer for quashing of the FIR and the cross-version on the basis of

compromise, which apparently is in the larger interest of the society to enable the parties to live in harmony and peace.

In view of the said circumstances, both the petitions are allowed. The FIR No. 8 dated 07.02.2011, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 325, 326 and 452 I.P.C., and the cross-version, recorded vide DDR No. 28 dated 05.02.2011, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 325, 326 and 452 I.P.C., registered at Police Station Lakho ke Behram, District Ferozepur, and all the subsequent proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

December 06, 2016
apurva

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No