

279

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32373 of 2015

Date of decision: September 20, 2016

Jagdish and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Ms. Sukhpreet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Mandeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Reply by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Shahkot, District Jalandhar (Rural) filed by learned State counsel today in Court, is taken on record.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

There is a motion for compounding/compromise arrived at between the parties in FIR No.64 dated 29.03.2014, under Sections 381, 195, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Shahkot, District Jalandhar City. The compromise is placed on record as Annexure P-2.

I have seen the FIR. Indeed the offence for which compounding/compromise is sought, is serious but then finding that

petitioners No.1 and 2 were salesmen on the petrol-pump run by the complainant and had, in connivance with other persons committed theft of ₹35,000/- from the petrol-pump and thus, looking to the owner and servant relationship of petitioner No.1 with respondent No.2-owner/complainant who is represented by Mr. Mandeep Singh, Advocate for Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate, who states that indeed there is a settlement arrived at between the parties and compounding/compromise should be allowed.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.64 dated 29.03.2014, under Sections 381, 195, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Shahkot, District Jalandhar City along with other consequential proceedings, stands quashed in terms of compromise, subject to the petitioners depositing costs in the sum of ₹5,000 each payable to the District Collector, Jalandhar within a period of three months from today. Failure of doing so shall result into automatically recall of this order.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

September 20, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No