

**205+125 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.33239 of 2016

Date of decision: November 09, 2016

Ram Avtar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. S.K. Pipat, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Manoj Pudir, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Vikram Rathore, Advocate for
Mr. Ankit Joshi, Advocate
for the complainant.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

By virtue of the instant petition preferred under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure, petitioner has sought pre-arrest bail, feeling apprehension of his arrest, in case FIR No.292 dated 06.05.2016, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Saran, Faridabad.

At the time of passing the order dated October 5, 2016, the following order was passed:-

“Adjourned to 9.11.2016.

In the meanwhile, interim direction is issued that the petitioner will join the investigation on or before 22.10.2016 and in case of petitioner's doing so, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.”

Learned State counsel Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana on instructions from ASI Raj Kumar, Police Station Faridabad has fairly stated that in compliance of the order dated October 05, 2016, petitioner has already joined the investigation and is no more required for further interrogation, in view of the fact that a compromise has arrived at between the parties.

In view of the aforesaid facts, order dated October 05, 2016 is made absolute, subject to the following conditions, as envisaged under section 438(2) Cr.P.C:

- 1) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- 3) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.*

November 09, 2016
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No