

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Date of decision : November 08, 2016

1. CRM No. M-33174 of 2016

Jagsir Singh and others

...Petitioners...

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents...

2. CRM No. M-33182 of 2016

Amandeep Singh @ Amna and others

...Petitioners...

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. D.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CRM-M-33174-2016) and
for respondent No.2 (in CRM-M-33182-2016).

Mr. Lakhwinder S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CRM-M-33182-2016) and
for respondent No.2 (in CRM-M-33174-2016).

Mr. R.P.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

JASPAL SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of aforesaid two petitions i.e CRM No.

M-33174 of 2016, captioned as ***“Jagsir Singh @ Jagga and others vs.***

State of Punjab and others” and CRM No. M-33182 of 2016, captioned as *“Amandeep Singh @ Amna and others vs. State of Punjab and another”*.

2. CRM No. M-33174 of 2016 has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of cross case vide DDR No.12 dated 21.06.2016, under Sections 326, 148, 149 IPC in FIR No.64 dated 19.06.2016, Police Station Ajitwal, District Moga and all the consequent proceedings on the basis of compromise deed dated 11.08.2016.

3. CRM No. M-33182 of 2016 has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.64 dated 19.06.2016, under Sections 324, 148, 149 IPC later on added Section 307 IPC, Police Station Ajitwal, District Moga and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise deed dated 11.08.2016.

4. Reports of learned trial Court have been received, in which, it has been categorically observed that a compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence. Even otherwise, matter involved is personal in nature, which stood amicably settled.

5. So, in view of above circumstances and in view of the pronouncement captioned as *“Kulwinder Singh & others vs. State of Punjab and others”*; *2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

6. Consequently, both petitions are allowed and cross case vide DDR No.12 dated 21.06.2016, under Sections 326, 148, 149 IPC in FIR

No.64 dated 19.06.2016, Police Station Ajitwal, District Moga and FIR No.64 dated 19.06.2016, under Sections 324, 148, 149 IPC later on added Section 307 IPC, Police Station Ajitwal, District Moga as well as all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioner(s).

November 08, 2016
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No