

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc.No.M-3317 of 2016
Date of Decision: February 01, 2016**

Priyanka Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of UT, Chandigarh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr.Aayush Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Naresh Kumar Sanghi, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel contends that he would be satisfied if the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, (respondent No.2) be directed to decide the representation (Annexure P-3) in a time-bound manner.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and going through the contents of the petition, the same is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh (respondent No.2) to decide the representation (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law, within two months of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

February 01, 2016
seema

(Naresh Kumar Sanghi)
Judge