

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

265

Criminal Misc. No.M-3314 of 2016

Date of decision: April 11, 2016

Pushkar

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order passed by this Court on 01.02.2016, the petitioner has joined investigation and the same has been affirmed by learned counsel for respondent-State. Learned State counsel also submits that challan has also been presented against the petitioner.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, interim order dated 01.02.2016 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of.

However, the petitioner is directed to furnish fresh bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

April 11, 2016

sonia gugnani

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**