

CRM-M-33126-2016

Date of Decision : 28.09.2016

Sukhmeetpal Singh @ Sukh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

Petitioner, while on regular bail with effect from 22.01.2016, absented himself on 28.03.2016, as a result of which his bail bonds were cancelled.

Learned counsel for the petitioner claims that on account of another criminal case having been registered against him, he could not appear before the Court on 28.03.2016.

Learned State counsel informs that the petitioner was arrested in a case under Section 302 IPC on 13.07.2016 and was also taken in custody, in the present case with effect from 25.07.2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case under Section 302 IPC has been decided.

Without expression of any opinion regarding the culpability of the petitioner, in another criminal case, it is sufficient to observe that with effect from 25.07.2016, the petitioner has been in custody. The reasons furnished by the petitioner for non-appearance, appear to be

sufficient enough to justify his absence on 28.03.2016. The petitioner, no doubt, could have informed the trial Court through his counsel. Be as that it may, petitioner in above circumstances, can be granted the concession of bail.

The petition is allowed. It is ordered that on furnishing fresh bail bonds/surety bonds, petitioner will be released on bail in the present FIR No. 12 dated 07.09.2014 in case not required in any other criminal case.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

28.09.2016
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No