

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.32233 of 2015.
Decided on:-26.02.2016.

Jaspreet Kaur and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Nand Lal Sammi, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4 only at this stage.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Pursuant to order dated 21.9.2015 passed by this Court, a communication dated 10.1.2016 has been received from the office of respondent No.2-SSP, Bathinda.

On the basis of aforesaid communication, learned State counsel states that the petitioners have got their statements recorded with the police that they have no threat at the hands of respondents No.5 and 6 and they do not need any protection.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners have not approached him despite having been intimated.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of.

February 26, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE