

202

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32218-2015

Date of decision : 05.05.2016

SUMEET JAIN

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

UT OF CHANDIGARH

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. K.S. Khehar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajeev Sharma, APP, UT, Chandigarh.

Mr. Vipul Jindal, Advocate
for the complainant.

--

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

Through the instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.3 dated 04.09.2015, registered under Sections 406/498-A IPC, Police Station Women Sector 17, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that as was directed on the last date, Almirah was opened and list of articles was prepared. The counsel also states that recovery had been effected earlier in November, 2015 and refers to the seizure memo drawn up on 21.11.2015. A copy of which has been placed on record. The counsel further states that the couple had attended a reception on 30.04.2013 and have placed on record a photograph of the function.

Both the parties are educated and were sent for mediation but the mediation had failed. The petitioner has already joined the investigation. There are no allegations that he would abscond or would not make himself available in the Court. Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 19.09.2015 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

05.05.2016
Sunil

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**