

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-32185-2015
DECIDED ON: July 12, 2016

SANDEEP KUMAR WATTS AND OTHERS

....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Gitish Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Dilpreet Ghandhi, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate,
for respondents No. 5 and 7.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking issuance of direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to take action on the representation moved by the petitioners against respondents No. 4 to 7.

2. In response to the issuance of notice of motion, reply by way of affidavit of Sh. Harmik Singh Deol, PPS DSP Sri Muktsar Sahib on behalf of official respondents No. 1 to 3 has been filed.

3. A glance at the aforesaid reply transpires that the matter relates to

District Jalandhar and no transaction has been taken place in the area of District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

4. Instant petition is disposed of with the direction to the petitioners to approach Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar, if, they are still aggrieved of the matter.

July 12, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE