

250

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Date of decision: September 21, 2016
CRM-M-3217 of 2015**

Daljit Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Director, Central Bureau of Investigation and another

.....Respondents

CRM-M-4541 of 2015

Darshan Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Director, Central Bureau of Investigation and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Manvinder Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-3217 of 2015).

Mr. S.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-4541 of 2015).

Mr. Sumeet Goel, Advocate
for the respondents-CBI.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Mr. Sumeet Goel, learned counsel for the respondents-CBI
has pointed out that before the pendency of these petitions, all the
witnesses have been examined, but for Investigating Officer whose
examination-in-Chief was also recorded. He further submits that stay
order was granted by this Court *ex parte* but that position was not

pointed out to the Court.

Be that as it may, the challenge is to the FIR in question in respect of which the trial has almost been completed, same and except cross-examination of the Investigating Officer, in my opinion, the challenge to the FIR at such a late stage would be meaningless and therefore, this Court declines to enter in the challenge to the FIR at such a later stage. However, all the grounds are left open to be considered by the trial Judge during trial.

Accordingly, these petitions are thus, disposed of without interfering with the FIR in question.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

September 21, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No