

**CRM-M-33049-2016 and
CRM-M-34961-2016**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:11.11.2016

1. CRM-M-33049-2016

Saroj

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-34961-2016

Anil

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Dinesh Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioners in both the petitions.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Naveen S. Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-33049-2016, filed by

**CRM-M-33049-2016 and
CRM-M-34961-2016**

Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.318 dated 11.08.2016, registered at Police Station City Bhiwani, under Sections 427, 454, 380, 448 and 34 IPC.

Notice of motion was issued in both these petitions. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and the complainant also put in appearance through her counsel. They contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners; learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that there is allegation against the petitioners is that they have demolished old room of the complainant and also stolen the old utensils. The petitioners have already joined the investigation. They are now not required for custodial interrogation. Nothing is to be recovered from them. Civil proceedings are already pending between the parties.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and no useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioners to custody, I find merit in these petitions and the same are allowed. The orders dated 19.09.2016 passed in CRM-M-33049-2016 and dated 30.09.2016 passed in CRM-M-34961-2016, granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute. However, the

**CRM-M-33049-2016 and
CRM-M-34961-2016**

shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

11.11.2016
parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No