

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33025-2016

Date of decision : 29.09.2016

DEEPANSHU

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. K.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.P.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vineet Sehgal, Advocate for the complainant.

--

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to order dated 19.09.2016, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and has cooperated and it was a case of run away marriage.

Learned State counsel confirms that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Victim is present in Court. Her parents are also present. Both of them sat together for some time.

Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 19.09.2016 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

29.09.2016

Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether reportable : Yes/No.