

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CRM M-32110 of 2015

Date of Decision: January 5, 2016

Ranjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-. -

Present:- Mr.R.S. Manhas, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Randhawa, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Arnav Sood, Advocate for the complainant.

-. -

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

On the instructions of ASI Krishan Lal, it has been informed that the petitioner has joined investigation. The allegation of complainant Maghar Singh is that petitioner had obtained a loan of Rs.6.25 lacs from the complainant but failed to re-pay the same. The petitioner had also allegedly given surety by preparing forged jamabandies.

With the assistance of State counsel I have gone through the record. The grievance of the complainant is that he had received a sum of

Rs.1 lac against a receipt but the figure one has been changed to three to make it appear that a sum of Rs.3 lacs had been repaid on March 11, 2011.

After hearing counsel for the petitioner, counsel for the complainant and going through the record, it transpires that the dispute is regarding money transaction which is to be established by producing evidence. The liability of the petitioner appears to be a combination of civil and criminal liability. It is not a case of custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed. Interim order dated September 19, 2015 is hereby made absolute subject to all the conditions under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

January 5, 2016
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE