

261 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

C.R.M-M No.32983 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 30.11.2016

Varinder Verma and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Gursimran Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Karan Garg, Advocate for respondent No.2.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

On 19.09.2016 the following order was passed :-

“This is a petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.23/2009 dated 03.02.2009, under Sections 341/324/506/148/149 IPC, registered at Police Station, Division No.7, Ludhiana on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion.

Mr.B.S.Thind, Addl.AG, Punjab, on the asking of the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Mr.Karan Garg, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the petitioners undertakes to supply one copy of the petition to the learned Addl.AG and one copy to learned counsel for respondent No.2 during the course of the day.

Adjourned to 30.11.2016.

In the meantime, parties are directed to be present before the trial Court on 03.10.2016, the date which is stated to be fixed there or any other date convenient to the Court for recording their statements with regard to compromise. The trial Court is directed to record the statements of both the parties to his satisfaction to know its genuineness that the statements are not the result of any pressure or coercion in any manner. The trial Court is also directed to send a report along with statements of the parties with regard to validity or otherwise of the compromise effected between the parties and also intimate whether any criminal proceedings are pending against either of the parties or not before the next date of hearing.”

Thereafter, the report of the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ludhiana dated 07.10.2016 has been received whereby he has mentioned that the parties had appeared before him and had attested to the fact that a compromise has indeed taken place between them and that the compromise has been executed voluntarily and without any pressure.

Learned Deputy Advocate General has also accepted this fact.

The Supreme Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another** reported as 2012(4) RCR(Criminal) 543 has discussed in detail the inherent powers of High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint where the parties have entered into compromise except the cases which involve offences such as murder, rape dacoity etc. as such offences are not private in nature and have serious impact on society.

In view of the above judicial pronouncement, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the

parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

Consequently, this petition is allowed and the above said FIR and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

30.11.2016

Pooja sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No