

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

**CRM-M No.32972 of 2016
Date of Decision: 06.12.2016**

Sandeep Nagpal & Ors.

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab & Anr.

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. V.S.Mahal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. A.P.S.Gill, AAG, Punjab.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

Quashing of FIR No. 296 dated 22.04.2010 under Sections 498-A, 506 and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Kotwali Bathinda, District Bathinda, is being sought on the basis of compromise dated 12.08.2015 (Annexure P/2).

Respondent No. 2 got married to petitioner No.1 about four months back from registration of the FIR. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties could not live together as husband and wife. The relationship between them became strained and thereafter, the F.I.R was registered against the petitioners on account of bringing less dowry and harassment caused by the petitioners.

However, the matter has now been duly compromised, vide compromise dated 12.08.2015 (Annexure P/2). In compliance of order dated 19.09.2016, report dated 15.10.2016 of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bathinda, has been received in this regard. As per report, statement of parties have been recorded. Complainant stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties and she does not want to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioners. The compromise has been entered voluntarily. To the same effect is the statements given by petitioners.

Consequently, in view of the status report dated 15.10.2016 and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

FIR No. 296 dated 22.04.2010 under Sections 498-A, 506 and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Kotwali Bathinda, District Bathinda, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

December 06, 2016
preeti

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE